

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/491,779 01/26/00 GAUSELMANN М ADP231 **EXAMINER** QM32/0111 Horst M Kasper COLLINS.D 13 Forest Drive **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Warren NJ 07059 3711 **DATE MAILED:** 01/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



1

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/491,779

Applica

Michael Gauselmann

Examiner

Dol res R. Collins

Group Art Unit 3711



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
	a) 🗍	expires months from the mailir g date of the final rejection.	
	p) 💢	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	r
	date on	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The nwhich the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	•
	Appell period	llant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or videor to the state of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or videor to the state of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or videor to the Notice of Appeal filed or(or videor to the Notice of Appeal filed or(or videor to the Notice of Appeal filed or	within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 15, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
X	The pr	roposed amendment(s):	
	☐ wi	ill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
		ill not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
•		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify issues for appeal.	ying the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO.	DTE:	
	☐ Ap	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	□ Ap	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	submitted in a
	Newly separa	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if	n condition
	Newly separation The a for all The V	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application is lowance because:	n condition
	Newly separation The a for all The V	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application i lowance because: Vancura reference appears to be applicable to the claims even as restated. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly	raised by the
	Newly separator The a for allimus The a Exam For put Claimus C	y proposed or amended claims	raised by the
	Newly separator allowed The a Exam For put Claim Claim	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application is lowance because: Vancura reference appears to be applicable to the claims even as restated. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly miner in the final rejection. surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): as allowed: as objected to: as rejected: 1-8	raised by the
	Newly separator allowed The a Exam For put Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application i lowance because: Vancura reference appears to be applicable to the claims even as restated. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly niner in the final rejection. burposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): as allowed: as objected to: as rejected: 1-8 broposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the	raised by the
□ ※	Newly separator allowed The a Exam For put Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application is lowance because: Vancura reference appears to be applicable to the claims even as restated. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly miner in the final rejection. surposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): as allowed: as objected to: as rejected: 1-8	raised by the
	Newly separator allowed The a Exam For put Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims	raised by the
	Newly separator allowed The Variation Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application i lowance because: Vancura reference appears to be applicable to the claims even as restated. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly niner in the final rejection. burposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): as allowed: as rejected to: as rejected: 1-8 broposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Statement	raised by the
	Newly separator allowed The Variation Claim Claim The p	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application i lowance because: Vancura reference appears to be applicable to the claims even as restated. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly niner in the final rejection. burposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): as allowed: as rejected to: as rejected: 1-8 broposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Statement	raised by the